

Notes on NP/ Waverley meeting - EYSC 3/3/17

Present: Jennie Falconer and Gayle Wootton WBC

HvS, ID, PG, BA, AW, VH and MT

<http://mycommunity.org.uk/take-action/neighbourhood-planning/resources/>

http://www.waverley.gov.uk/info/1004/planning_policy/1416/neighbourhood_planning

http://www.waverley.gov.uk/info/1004/planning_policy/1416/neighbourhood_planning/2

http://www.waverley.gov.uk/info/1004/planning_policy/1416/neighbourhood_planning/3

Were recommended viewing by Jennie and Gayle. No templates as such.

HvS summed up the progress of the NP since their last meeting.

WBC said that they had a new man on the staff at Godalming who was going through all the numbers prior to the start of Part 2.

Keep an eye on the Waverley Web Site for responses next weekend (10/11/12 March)

In the future, housing numbers may go up or down, managing expectations will be necessary.

Some Parishes may have to give and take on Green Belt and there will be new population projections for the Borough leading to appraisals of sites appropriate for each Parish.

Unmet needs – evidence needed that Waverley cannot take other Boroughs overspill (Woking, Guildford etc.) Any allocation of forced extra would be allocated across the Borough, most likely to be in urban areas.

Design management on the way, non-strategic site allocation and provision for Travellers, changes to settlement boundaries and management of the local landscape etc.

Waverley Plan: Call for sites on the 27th March – consultation mid-June and then November, produce the Plan in Summer 2018 for adoption 2019.

The Parish Council must write to Waverley in late March with Site Allocation in Ewhurst and a boundary review as required. If done, Waverley would be silent on Ewhurst.

If any development comes along in the meantime, Waverley will look at it in light of the 2002 plan.

Prematurity won't do as a defence as the NP carries no weight at this stage. Evidence can be produced by the Parish Council. Speed is of the essence.

In summary, HvS said that as speed was everything, what was the fastest method of getting to where we wanted to be. Gayle said not to cut corners and to make sure that there are enough available sites.

What happens should a site have been missed? Make absolutely certain that no sites have been missed. A developer recently quashed an NP in the High Court where a site was missed. Maybe another call for sites not on the settlement boundary including Ellens Green. The number available must be for the Parish, not just the settlement boundary.

Notice to be put on web site and mentioned at Parish Meeting on April 5th. Maybe in Surrey Advertiser? Sustainability issue for most of the Parish.

PROCESS MORE IMPORTANT THAN OUTCOMES AT PRESENT.

Flooding areas possible if developers can mitigate.

Any interest for employment sites – workshop type or agricultural barns. Office use?

Gayle will send terminology examples.

HVS said that wants were proper sewerage (before a spade was turned, Grampian Condition) and minimum 100MB Broadband capability (preferably 200MB) wiring built in so that future advances could be accepted straight away. A new Village Hall would be necessary before too long. A local water quality assessment was needed and the sewage pumping station was not fit for purpose as it could not cope with rainwater surges and caused drains to back up in the village now, before any new housing was built.

Thames Water contact was Mark Mathews on their planning team. Savills were their agents.

<http://www.savills.co.uk/estate-agents/savills-reading/planning.aspx>

Identify GREEN SPACES and historic and recreational sites – playing fields, ponds, allotments rights to access for the Public. NPPF.

RECAP

NP to read the PART ONE draft and then PART TWO

Talk to Thames Water and Savills

Discuss call for more sites

Look at tweaks that residents want

Site allocation

MT to be named contact with WBC.